GOVERNMENT OF INDIA DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIA HOSPITAL, NEW DELHI

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT

FOR

NON -GAZETTED POST (Ministerial Staff)

NAME OF THE OFFICER	:	 				
	٤					
DESIGNATION	:		,			7.
	0				(30)	
REPORT FOR THE YEAR/PERIOD FROM						

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIA HOSPITAL, NEW DELHI

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (APAR)

(For Group'C', Ministerial Staff)

PART - I

(To be filled by the Office)

1.	Reporting period	From	To	
2.	Name			-
3.	Date of Birth	a a		
4.	Designation			
5.	Qualification			
6.	Scale of Pay			and the second s
	i) Basic Pay ii) Grade Pay			·
	iii) Pay Band		· ·	
7.	Date from which present post held	4 · · · · ·	a . **	
8.	Whether SC/ST/OBC (Please tick mark)	SC/ST/OBC		
9	Period of absence on account of training/long leave (more than 3 months)	Training Leave		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Date:

PART – II

(To be filled by the person concerned)

							100
	1850 El						
				8			
		ž					
	8 W **	•		s)	la .	14	
	8						
		PART - I	III –'A'				
						_	
		ent by the I	Reportin	g Officer)	*	
	General						
No.	Description of quality /		5	Asse	ssment		
.No.	Description of quality / characteristic	8		Asse	ssment		
S.No.		Excellent	Very	Asse	ssment Fair	Poor	Not
	characteristic	Excellent	Very Good		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	Not Applicab
	Characteristic General Health		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
<u>)</u>	General Health Temperament		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
)	General Health Temperament Regularity and		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
)) i)	General Health Temperament		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
) i) ')	Characteristic General Health Temperament Regularity and punctuality Diligence Intelligence		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
) i) i) /)	General Health Temperament Regularity and punctuality Diligence Intelligence Initiatives		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
) i) ii) v) j) ii)	Characteristic General Health Temperament Regularity and punctuality Diligence Intelligence Initiatives Self Confidence		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
) ii) ii) v))	Characteristic General Health Temperament Regularity and punctuality Diligence Intelligence Initiatives Self Confidence Technical Coordination		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	
) i) i) v)) ii)	Characteristic General Health Temperament Regularity and punctuality Diligence Intelligence Initiatives Self Confidence		,		75 FEBRUARY 75	Poor	

3.	Relations with public (wherever applicable) (Please comment on the officer's accessibility to the public and responsiveness to their needs)						

Numerical grading is to be awarded by reporting and reviewing authority, which should be on a scale of 1-10, where 1, refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest. *

PART - III -'B'

(1) Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)

		Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)	Accomplishment of planned work / work allotted as per subjects allotted		0 0 0	#
ii)	Quality of output	2		i)
iii)	Analytical ability			
iv)	Accomplishment of exceptional work/ unforeseen tasks performed		45	
Ove	rall Grading on 'Work Output'			

(2) Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

		Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)	Attitude to work			5
ii)	Sense of responsibility		5	2.4
iii)	Maintenance of Discipline			2
iv)	Communication Skills	a	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
v)	Leadership qualities			
vi)	Capacity to work in team spirit		à	
vii)	Capacity to work in time limit	6		
viii)	Inter-personal relations			
Over 'pers	all Grading on onal attributes'	0		

(3)	Assessment of functional	competency	(weightage to thi	is Section	would be	30%
-----	--------------------------	------------	-------------------	------------	----------	-----

W.		Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)	Knowledge of Rules/Regulations/ Procedures in the area			
	of function and ability to apply them correctly.	* * D		2
ii)	Strategic planning ability		a e	
iii)	Decision-making ability			a ,
iv)	Co-ordination ability	11		
v)	Ability to motivate and develop subordinates		8 8	e u
	Il Grading on ional competency'			

^{*} Guidelines regarding filling up of APAR with numerical grading are given at the end of the APAR performa)

			* P							26 W
7 X		## **	2.8	ř s	ű.		12,			16
8.	76 646									
8 V						St			1 684	
		0						18 18		
				8			15. 10.		10 Mg	*
# A	16				2. 1711 1239)					
% ::										
						ži.			er 17	

(5)	Overall numerical grading on the basis of weightage given in section 1, 2, & in Part-III 'B' of the Report.
Dated :	Signature of the Reporting Officer
	Name in Block Letters:
	Designation:

PART -IV

Remarks by Reviewing Officer

to the work output as with the assessment	with the assessment mand the various attribute of reporting officer in f the officer reported u	es in Part- III 'A' respect of extrao	& 'B'? Do you a rdinary achiever	agree nents
	gree with any of the nuntry of the number of the column prov			
	Yes	No		
2. In case of disa wish to modify	greement, please spec or add?	ify the reasons. Is	s there anything	you
	y the Reviewing Officer including area of ser sections.			
× ,		a - 2	- 2	
	k s	er er er		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2		

4. Overall numerical in Part-III 'B' of the report	grading on the basis of vert.	weightage given	in section 1,2 & 3
	* ,		
	a s	ž i	
		Signature of the	Reviewing Officer
Dated :		8 .	
* ***	8 Y		
a	Name in Block Let	tters:	
	Designation:		